arXiv:1412.5843v1 [stat.ME] 18 Dec 2014

A Modified Reference Prior for the Generalized Gamma Distribution

Pedro L. Ramos* and Francisco Louzada†

Institute of Mathematical Science and Computing
Universidade de Sao Paulo, Sao Carlos-SP, Brazil

December 15, 2014

Abstract

In this paper we propose an objective Bayesian estimation approach for the parameters of the generalized gamma distribution. Various reference priors are obtained, but showing that they lead to improper posterior distributions. We overcome this problem by proposing a modification in a reference priori distribution, allowing for a proper posterior distribution for the parameters of the generalized gamma distribution. We perform a simulation study in order to study the efficiency of the proposed methodology, which is also fully illustrated on a real data set.

Keywords: Generalized Gamma Distribution, Bayesian Inference, Objective Prior, Reference Prior.

1 Introduction

Introduced by Stacy (1962), the Generalized Gamma distribution (GG) is an important distribution that has proven to be very flexible in practice for modeling data from several areas, such as climatology, meteorology medicine,

*Email: pedrolramos@hotmail.com
†Email: louzada@icmc.usp.br

reliability and image processing data, among others. The GG distribution is a general distribution which has several particular cases, such as the exponential, Weibull, gamma, log-normal, generalized normal, half-normal, Rayleigh, Maxwell-Boltzmann and chi distributions. Marani et al. (1986) use this distribution to analyze data relating to air quality in Venice, Italy. Thai and Meyer (1999) propose new methods for analyzing citations in recent publications to find journals with greater influence using the GG distribution. Aalo, et al. (2005) successfully used this distribution to analyze the performance degradation of wireless communication systems. Li et al. (2011) use the GG distribution to obtain different techniques for processing SAR (Synthetic aperture radar) images. Other applications of the GG distribution can be seen in Noortwijk (2001), Dadpay et al. (2007), Balakrishnan e Peng (2006), Raju e Srinivasan, (2002), e Ahsanullah et al. (2013).

Using the classical approach, Hargar & Bain (1970) show that the nonlinear equations obtained by Stacy & Mihram (1965) are very unstable. DiCiccio (1987) obtained approximate inferences based on approximations of normal distributions, however, as an approximated method, the obtained inference is inaccurate for small sample sizes. Huang & Hwang (2006) use the method of moments to perform inferences for the GG distribution. Khodabin & Ahmadabadi (2010) compare the method of moments with the maximum likelihood method and conclude that, in general, the maximum likelihood estimators (MLE's) have superior performance. However, the MLE values may heavily depend on the initial values for the parameters, needed for initiate iterative methods.

Using the Bayesian approach, Chang & Kim (2011) deals with noninformative prior for the GG distribution. However, the authors consider that one of the parameters of the distribution is known. Maswadah et. al (2013) also consider the case where one of the parameters is known and perform inference for the GG distribution based on order statistics. At least in principle, they methods are not recommended for real applications since, usually, all the parameters are unknown needing to be estimated.

An important reference prior was introduced by Bernardo (1979), with further developments by Berger & Bernardo (1989, 1992a, 1992b, 1992c). The proposed idea is to maximize the expected Kullback-Leibler divergence between the posterior distribution and the prior. The reference prior provides posterior distribution with interesting properties, such as invariance, consistent marginalization and consistent sampling properties (Bernardo, 2005). Some recent reference priors were obtained for the Pareto (Fu et. al, 2012),

Poisson-Exponential (Tomazella et. al, 2013), Extended Exponential Geometric (Ramos et. al, 2014), Inverse-Weibull (Kim et. al, 2014), Generalized Half-normal (Kang et. al, 2014). In this paper however we demonstrated that different reference priors for the GG distribution lead to improper posterior distributions.

We overcome the problems presented above by proposing a modification in a reference prior distribution obtained when all the parameters are of interesting, leading to a proper posterior density. These results are of great practical interest since they finally enable the use of the GG distribution in practice from the Bayesian point of view, in a methodologically correct way, breaking with the problem of estimating the parameters of this important distribution.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the GG distribution and discuss its properties. In Section 3, we carry out a reference Bayesian analysis for this model. In this section we also present our modified reference prior. In Section 4 a simulation study is presented in order to study the efficiency of the proposed method. In Section 5 the methodology is illustrated in a real data set. Some final comments are presented in Section 6.

2 Generalized Gamma Distribution

A random variable T has a GG distribution if its density function is given by (Stacy, 1962),

$$f(t|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(\phi)}\mu^{\alpha\phi}t^{\alpha\phi-1}\exp\left(-(\mu t)^{\alpha}\right), \tag{1}$$

where $t > 0$, $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\phi, \mu, \alpha)$ and $\Gamma(\phi) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x}x^{\phi-1}dx$ is called gamma function. The shape parameters are given by $\alpha > 0$ and $\phi > 0$ and $\mu > 0$ is a scale parameter.

The cumulative distribution function is given by

$$F(t|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int_0^{(\mu t)^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\phi)}w^{\phi-1}e^{-w}dw = \frac{\gamma\left[\phi, (\mu t)^{\alpha}\right]}{\Gamma(\phi)}\ , \tag{2}$$

where $\gamma(y, x) = \int_0^x w^{y-1}e^{-w}dw$ is called lower incomplete gamma.

Relevant probability distributions can be obtained from the GG distribution as the Weibull distribution ($\phi = 1$) , Gamma distribution ($\alpha = 1$), Log-Normal distribution (limit case when $\phi \to \infty$) and the Generalized Normal distribution ($\alpha = 2$). The Generalized Normal distribution is also a distribution that includes several known distributions like, half-normal ($\phi = 1/2, \mu = 1/\sqrt{2}\sigma$), Rayleigh ($\phi = 1, \mu = 1/\sqrt{2}\sigma$), Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution ($\phi = 3/2$) and chi distribution ($\phi = k/2, k = 1, 2, \ldots$).

The mean and the variance of the GG distribution, are respectively given by

$$E(T) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\phi + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\mu\Gamma(\phi)}, \tag{3}$$

$$V(T) = \frac{1}{\mu^2}\left\{\frac{\Gamma\left(\phi + \frac{2}{\alpha}\right)}{\Gamma(\phi)} - \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\phi + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\Gamma(\phi)}\right)^2\right\}. \tag{4}$$

The Fisher information matrix given by,

$$I(\alpha, \mu, \phi) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1 + 2\psi(\phi) + \phi\psi'(\phi) + \phi\psi(\phi)^2}{\alpha^2} & -\frac{1 + \phi\psi(\phi)}{\mu} & -\frac{\psi(\phi)}{\alpha} \\ -\frac{1 + \phi\psi(\phi)}{\mu} & \frac{\phi\alpha^2}{\mu^2} & \frac{\alpha}{\mu} \\ -\frac{\psi(\phi)}{\alpha} & \frac{\alpha}{\mu} & \psi'(\phi) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

The hazard function is given by

$$h(t|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{f(t|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{S(t|\boldsymbol{\theta})} = \frac{\alpha\mu^{\alpha\phi}t^{\alpha\phi-1}\exp\left(-(\mu t)^\alpha\right)}{\Gamma\left[\phi, (\mu t)^\alpha\right]}, \tag{6}$$

where $\Gamma(y, x) = \int_x^\infty w^{y-1}e^{-w}dw$ is called upper incomplete gamma.

This model allows to obtain different forms of the hazard function, such as constant, increasing, decreasing, bathtub and unimodal shape. These properties make the GG distribution a flexible model for reliability data. Figure 1 shows examples of the shapes of the probability density function and hazard function for different values of ϕ, μ e α.



Figure 1: Left panel: probability density function of the GG distribution. Right panel: hazard function of the GG distribution.

3 Reference Bayesian Analysis

The main objective of reference Bayesian analysis introduced by Bernardo (1979) and further developed by Berger and Bernardo (1992a, 1993b) is to specify a prior distribution, where the dominant information is provided by the data. To obtain the reference prior, when there are nuisance parameters, the construction must be performed using the parameters of interest ordered. The procedure for obtaining a reference prior to the parameter of interest is described as follows (Bernardo, 2005).

Proposition 3.1. *Let $p(x|\theta, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_m)$, be a parametric model, the quantity of interest be θ and $H(\theta, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_m)$ Fisher's information matrix $(m+1) \times (m+1)$. It is assumed that the joint distribution of $(\theta, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_m)$ is asymptotically normal with the mean $(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\lambda}_1, \cdots, \hat{\lambda}_m)$ the estimating correspondents of maximum likelihood, and with the covariance matrix $S(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\lambda}_1, \cdots, \hat{\lambda}_m)$, where $S = H^{-1}$. If S_j is a $j \times j$ upper left submatrix of S, $H_j = S_j^{-1}$ and $h_{i,j}(\theta, \lambda)$ is an element of H_j, it follows that:*

1. *The reference priors are*

$$\pi(\lambda_m|\theta, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_{m-1}) \propto h_{m+1,m+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\theta, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_m),$$

$$\pi(\lambda_i|\theta,\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{i-1}) \propto \exp(\int_{\wedge_{i+1}} \cdots \int_{\wedge_m} \log\left(h^{\frac{1}{2}}_{i+1,i+1}(\theta,\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_m)\right) \times$$
$$\times \prod_{j=i+1}^{m} \pi(\lambda_j|\theta,\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{j-1})\boldsymbol{d\lambda_{i+1}}),$$

where $\boldsymbol{d\lambda_{i+1}} = d\lambda_j \times \cdots \times d\lambda_j$ *and* $\pi(\lambda_i|\theta,\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{i-1}), i = 1,\cdots,m$ *are all proper. If any of those conditional reference priors is not proper, then a compact approximation is required for the corresponding integrals.*

2. *The marginal reference prior of* θ *is given by solving*

$$\pi(\theta) \propto \exp(\int_{\wedge_{i+1}} \cdots \int_{\wedge_m} \log\left(s^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{1,1}(\theta,\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_m)\right) \times$$
$$\times \prod_{j=i+1}^{m} \pi(\lambda_j|\theta,\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{j-1})\boldsymbol{d\lambda_{i+1}}),$$

where $s^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{1,1}(\theta,\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_m) = h^{\frac{1}{2}}_{1,1}(\theta,\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_m)$

3. *The posterior distribution of* θ*, given by the values* $(y_1,\cdots,y_n)$ *is*

$$\pi(\theta|x_1,\cdots,x_n) \propto \exp(\int_{\wedge_{i+1}} \cdots \int_{\wedge_m} p(x_1,\cdots,x_n|\theta,\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_m) \times$$
$$\times \prod_{j=i+1}^{m} \pi(\lambda_j|\theta,\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{j-1})d\lambda_1,\cdots,d\lambda_m),$$

Corollary 3.2. *If the nuisance parameter spaces* $\wedge_i(\theta,\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{j-1}) = \wedge_i$ *are independent of* θ *and* λ_i*'s and the functions* $h_{i,i},\cdots,h_{m,m}$ *factorize in the form*

$$s^{\frac{1}{2}}_{1,1}(\theta,\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_m) = f_0(\theta)g_0(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_m),$$

$h^{\frac{1}{2}}_{i+1,i+1}(\theta,\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_m) = f_i(\lambda_i)g_i(\theta,\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{i-1},\lambda_{i+1},\cdots,\lambda_m), i = 1,\cdots,m.$

Then

$$\pi(\theta) \propto f_0(\theta), \pi(\lambda_i|\theta,\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_m) \propto f_i(\lambda_i), i = 1,\cdots,m, \tag{7}$$

and there is no need for compact approximations, even if $\pi(\lambda_i|\theta,\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_m)$ *are not proper.*

Corollary 3.3. *If the parameter spaces* $\wedge_j(\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_{j-1}, \theta_{j+1}, \cdots, \theta_m) = \wedge_j$ *are independent of* $\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_{j-1}, \theta_{j+1}, \cdots, \theta_m$ *and* $h_{j,j}, j = 1, \cdots, m$, *are factorize in the form*

$$h_{j,j}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = f_j(\theta_j) g_j(\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_{j-1}, \theta_{j+1}, \cdots, \theta_m), j = 1, \cdots, m.$$

Then the reference prior for the ordered parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_m)$ *is given by* $\pi_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} f_j(\theta_j)$ *and there is no need for compact approximations, even if the conditional priors are not proper.*

3.1 Reference prior when α is the parameter of interest

Suppose that α is the parameter of interest and μ and ϕ are nuisance parameters, through the Corollary 3.2 and using the Fisher information matrix (5), we have

$$\pi(\alpha) \propto \alpha, \quad \pi(\mu|\alpha, \phi) \propto \frac{1}{\mu}, \quad \pi(\phi|\alpha, \mu) \propto \sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}. \tag{8}$$

The joint prior distribution for the ordered parameters is given by,

$$\pi_\alpha(\alpha, \mu, \phi) \propto \frac{\alpha\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\mu}. \tag{9}$$

Let $T_1, \ldots, T_n$ be a random sample such that $T \sim \mathrm{GG}(\alpha, \mu, \phi)$. In this case, the likelihood function from (1) is given by,

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \boldsymbol{t}) = \frac{\alpha^n}{\Gamma(\phi)^n} \mu^{n\alpha\phi} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n} t_i^{\alpha\phi-1} \right\} \exp\left\{ -\mu^\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^\alpha \right\}. \tag{10}$$

The joint posterior distribution for ϕ, μ e α, using the prior distribution (9), is proportional to the prior (9) and the product of the likelihood function (10) resulting in,

$$p_\alpha(\phi, \mu, \alpha|\boldsymbol{t}) = \frac{1}{d_1} \frac{\alpha^{n+1}\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n} \mu^{n\alpha\phi-1} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n} t_i^{\alpha\phi-1} \right\} \exp\left\{ -\mu^\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^\alpha \right\}. \tag{11}$$

Proposition 3.4. *The posterior density (11) is improper since,*

$$d_1 = \int\limits_{\mathcal{A}} \frac{\alpha^{n+1}\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\mu^{n\alpha\phi-1}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{n} t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\}\exp\left\{-\mu^{\alpha}\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^{\alpha}\right\} d\boldsymbol{\theta} = \infty, \quad (12)$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \{(0,\infty)\times(0,\infty)\times(0,\infty)\}$ *is the parameter space for* $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

Proof. Since $\frac{\alpha^{n+1}\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\mu^{n\alpha\phi-1}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{n} t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\}\exp\left\{-\mu^{\alpha}\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^{\alpha}\right\} \geq 0$ by Tonelli theorem (see Folland, 1999) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_1 &= \int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{n+1}\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\mu^{n\alpha\phi-1}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{n} t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\}\exp\left\{-\mu^{\alpha}\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^{\alpha}\right\} d\mu d\phi d\alpha \\
&= \int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty} \alpha^{n-1}\frac{\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}\Gamma(n\phi)}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} t_i\right)^{\alpha\phi-1}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^{\alpha}\right)^{n\phi}} d\phi d\alpha \\
&\geq \int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{1} c_1\alpha^n\phi^{n-2}\left(\frac{\sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^{n} t_i^{\alpha}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^{\alpha}}\right)^{n\phi} d\phi d\alpha \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} c_1\alpha^n\frac{\gamma\left(n-1, n\,\mathrm{q}(\alpha)\right)}{\left(n\,\mathrm{q}(\alpha)\right)^{n-1}} d\alpha \geq \int_1^{\infty} g_1\alpha d\alpha = \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{q}(\alpha) = \log\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^{\alpha}}{\sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^{n} t_i^{\alpha}}}\right) > 0$ and c_1 and g_1 are positive constants such that the above inequalities occur. □

3.2 Reference prior when ϕ is the parameter of interest

When ϕ is the parameter of interest and μ and α are nuisance parameters, through the Corollary 3.2 and using the Fisher information matrix (5), we have

$$\pi(\phi) \propto \sqrt{\frac{\phi + \phi^2\psi'(\phi) - 1}{\phi^2\psi'(\phi)^2 - \psi'(\phi) - 1}}, \qquad \pi(\mu|\phi,\alpha) \propto \frac{1}{\mu}, \qquad \pi(\alpha|\phi,\mu) \propto \frac{1}{\alpha}. \quad (13)$$

The joint prior distribution for the ordered parameters is given by,

$$\pi_\phi(\phi, \alpha, \mu) \propto \frac{\pi(\phi)}{\alpha\mu}. \tag{14}$$

The joint posterior distribution for ϕ, μ e α, using the prior distribution (14), is proportional to the product of the likelihood function (10) and the prior (9) resulting in,

$$p_\phi(\phi, \mu, \alpha|\boldsymbol{t}) = \frac{\pi(\phi)}{d_2}\frac{\alpha^{n-1}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\mu^{n\alpha\phi-1}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\}\exp\left\{-\mu^\alpha\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha\right\}. \tag{15}$$

Proposition 3.5. *The posterior density (15) is improper since,*

$$d_2 = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \alpha^{n-1}\frac{\pi(\phi)}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\mu^{n\alpha\phi-1}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\}\exp\left\{-\mu^\alpha\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha\right\} d\boldsymbol{\theta} = \infty, \tag{16}$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \{(0,\infty)\times(0,\infty)\times(0,\infty)\}$ *is the parameter space for* $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

Proof. Since $\alpha^{n-1}\frac{\pi(\phi)}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\mu^{n\alpha\phi-1}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\}\exp\left\{-\mu^\alpha\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha\right\} \geq 0$ by Tonelli theorem (see Folland, 1999) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_2 &= \int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty \alpha^{n-1}\frac{\pi(\phi)}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\mu^{n\alpha\phi-1}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\}\exp\left\{-\mu^\alpha\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha\right\} d\mu d\phi d\alpha \\
&= \int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty \alpha^{n-2}\frac{\pi(\phi)\Gamma(n\phi)}{\phi\Gamma(\phi)^n}\frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n t_i\right)^{\alpha\phi-1}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha\right)^{n\phi}} d\phi d\alpha \\
&> \int_0^\infty\int_0^1 c_2\alpha^{n-2}\phi^{\frac{n}{2}+1}\left(\frac{\sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha}}{\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha}\right)^{n\phi} d\phi d\alpha \\
&= \int_0^\infty c_2\alpha^{n-2}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+2, n\,\mathrm{p}(\alpha)\right)}{\left(n\,\mathrm{p}(\alpha)\right)^{\frac{n}{2}+2}} d\alpha \geq \int_1^\infty g_2\alpha^{-6} d\alpha = \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{p}(\alpha) = \log\left(\frac{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha}{\sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha}}\right) > 0$ and c_2 and g_2 are positive constants such that the above inequalities occur. □

3.3 Reference prior when μ is the parameter of interest

Consider the case when μ is the parameter of interest and ϕ and α are nuisance parameters, through the Corollary 3.2, we have

$$\pi(\mu) \propto \mu, \quad \pi(\alpha|\mu,\phi) \propto \frac{1}{\alpha}, \quad \pi(\phi|\alpha,\mu) \propto \sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}. \tag{17}$$

The joint prior distribution for the ordered parameters is given by,

$$\pi_\mu(\mu,\alpha,\phi) \propto \frac{\mu\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\alpha}. \tag{18}$$

The joint posterior distribution for μ, α e ϕ, using the prior distribution (18), is given by

$$p_\mu(\mu,\alpha,\phi|\boldsymbol{t}) = \frac{1}{d_3}\frac{\alpha^{n-1}\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\mu^{n\alpha\phi+1}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\}\exp\left\{-\mu^\alpha\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha\right\}. \tag{19}$$

Proposition 3.6. *The posterior density (19) is improper since,*

$$d_3 = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \frac{\alpha^{n-1}\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\mu^{n\alpha\phi+1}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\}\exp\left\{-\mu^\alpha\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha\right\} d\boldsymbol{\theta} = \infty, \tag{20}$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \{(0,\infty)\times(0,\infty)\times(0,\infty)\}$ *is the parameter space for* $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

Proof. Since $\frac{\alpha^{n-1}\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\mu^{n\alpha\phi+1}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\}\exp\left\{-\mu^\alpha\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha\right\} \geq 0$ by Tonelli

theorem (see Folland, 1999) we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_3 &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\alpha^{n-1}\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\mu^{n\alpha\phi+1}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\}\exp\left\{-\mu^\alpha\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha\right\}d\mu d\phi d\alpha \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \alpha^{n-2}\frac{\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}\Gamma(n\phi+\frac{2}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n t_i\right)^{\alpha\phi-1}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha\right)^{n\phi+\frac{2}{\alpha}}}d\phi d\alpha \\
&> \int_0^\infty \int_1^\infty c_3\alpha^{n-2}\phi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\left(1+\frac{2}{n\alpha\mu}\right)^{n\phi}\left(\phi+\frac{2}{n\alpha}\right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}}\frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n t_i\right)^{\alpha\phi-1}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha\right)^{n\phi}}d\phi d\alpha \\
&> \int_0^\infty \int_1^\infty c_3\alpha^{n-\frac{3}{2}}\phi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\left(\frac{2}{n\alpha}\right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}e^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}}\frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n t_i\right)^{\alpha\phi-1}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha\right)^{n\phi}}d\phi d\alpha \\
&> \int_0^{\frac{2}{ne}} \int_1^\infty c_3\alpha^{n-\frac{3}{2}}\phi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n t_i\right)^{\alpha\phi-1}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha\right)^{n\phi}}d\phi d\alpha \\
&= \int_0^{\frac{2}{ne}} c_3\alpha^{n-\frac{3}{2}}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}, n\,\mathrm{p}(\alpha)\right)}{\left(n\,\mathrm{p}(\alpha)\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}d\alpha \geq \int_0^{\frac{2}{ne}} g_3\alpha^{-\frac{5}{2}}d\alpha = \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

where c_3 e g_3 are positive constants such that the above inequalities occur. □

3.4 Reference prior where α, μ and ϕ are the ordered parameters

For the situation where the parameters of interest are ordered by $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\alpha, \mu, \phi)$, through the Corollary 3.3 and using the Fisher information matrix (5), we have

$$\pi(\alpha) \propto \frac{1}{\alpha}, \quad \pi(\mu|\alpha,\phi) \propto \frac{1}{\mu}, \quad \pi(\phi|\alpha,\mu) \propto \sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}. \tag{21}$$

The joint prior distribution for the ordered parameters is given by,

$$\pi_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\alpha,\mu,\phi) \propto \frac{\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\alpha\mu}. \tag{22}$$

The joint posterior distribution for ϕ, μ and α, using the prior distribution (22), is given by,

$$p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\phi, \mu, \alpha|\boldsymbol{t}) = \frac{1}{d_4} \frac{\alpha^{n-1}\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n} \mu^{n\alpha\phi-1} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n} t_i^{\alpha\phi-1} \right\} \exp\left\{ -\mu^\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^\alpha \right\}. \quad (23)$$

Proposition 3.7. *The posterior density (23) is improper since,*

$$d_4 = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \frac{\alpha^{n-1}\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n} \mu^{n\alpha\phi-1} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n} t_i^{\alpha\phi-1} \right\} \exp\left\{ -\mu^\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^\alpha \right\} d\boldsymbol{\theta} = \infty, \quad (24)$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \{(0,\infty) \times (0,\infty) \times (0,\infty)\}$ *is the parameter space for* $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

Proof. Since $\frac{\alpha^{n-1}\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n} \mu^{n\alpha\phi-1} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n} t_i^{\alpha\phi-1} \right\} \exp\left\{ -\mu^\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^\alpha \right\} \geq 0$ by Tonelli theorem (see Folland, 1999) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_4 &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\alpha^{n-1}\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n} \mu^{n\alpha\phi-1} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n} t_i^{\alpha\phi-1} \right\} \exp\left\{ -\mu^\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^\alpha \right\} d\mu d\phi d\alpha \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \alpha^{n-1} \frac{\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}\Gamma(n\phi)}{\Gamma(\phi)^n} \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} t_i\right)^{\alpha\phi-1}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^\alpha\right)^{n\phi}} d\phi d\alpha \\
&\geq \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 c_1 \alpha^{n-1} \phi^{n-2} \left(\frac{\sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^{n} t_i^\alpha}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^\alpha} \right)^{n\phi} d\phi d\alpha \\
&= \int_0^\infty c_1 \alpha^{n-1} \frac{\gamma\left(n-1, n\,\mathrm{q}(\alpha)\right)}{\left(n\,\mathrm{q}(\alpha)\right)^{n-1}} d\alpha \geq \int_1^\infty g_1 \frac{1}{\alpha} d\alpha = \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where c_1 and g_1 are positive constants such that the above inequalities occur. □

3.5 Modified Reference Prior

Consider the case discuss in the Section 3.4 where the parameters of interest are ordered by $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, a modification in $\pi(\alpha)$ was performed so that

$$\pi(\alpha) \propto \frac{1}{\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}}. \quad (25)$$

This modification was made conveniently of such way that $\pi(\alpha)$ is proper, ie,

$$\int_0^\infty \pi(\alpha)d\alpha = \int_0^\infty \frac{k^{-1}}{\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}}d\alpha = 1 \text{ e } k = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}}d\alpha < \infty. \quad (26)$$

The joint prior distribution for the ordered parameters is given by,

$$\pi_M(\alpha, \mu, \phi) \propto \frac{\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}\mu}. \quad (27)$$

The joint posterior distribution for ϕ, μ and α, using the prior distribution (26), is given by,

$$p_R(\alpha, \phi, \mu|\boldsymbol{t}) = \frac{1}{d_5}\frac{\alpha^{n+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\mu^{n\alpha\phi-1}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\}\exp\left\{-\mu^\alpha\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha\right\}. \quad (28)$$

Theorem 3.8. *The posterior density (23) is proper since,*

$$d_5 = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \frac{\alpha^{n+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\mu^{n\alpha\phi-1}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\}\exp\left\{-\mu^\alpha\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha\right\}d\boldsymbol{\theta} < \infty, \quad (29)$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \{(0,\infty)\times(0,\infty)\times(0,\infty)\}$ *is the parameter space for* $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

Proof. Since $\frac{\alpha^{n+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\mu^{n\alpha\phi-1}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\}\exp\left\{-\mu^\alpha\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha\right\} \geq 0$ by Tonelli theorem (see Folland, 1999) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} d_5 &= \int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty \frac{\alpha^{n+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\mu^{n\alpha\phi-1}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\}\exp\left\{-\mu^\alpha\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha\right\}d\mu d\phi d\alpha \\ &= \int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty \frac{\alpha^{n-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\}\frac{\Gamma(n\phi)}{(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha)^{n\phi}}d\phi d\alpha = s_1+s_2+s_3+s_4, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$s_1 = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{\alpha^{n-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2\alpha}{(1+\alpha)}}\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\}\frac{\Gamma(n\phi)}{(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha)^{n\phi}}d\phi d\alpha$$
$$< \int_0^1 c_1'\alpha^{n-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2\alpha}{(1+\alpha)}}\frac{\gamma(n-1, n\,\mathrm{q}(\alpha))}{(n\,\mathrm{q}(\alpha))^{n-1}}d\alpha < \int_0^1 g_1'\alpha^{n-\frac{1}{2}}d\alpha < \infty,$$

$$s_2 = \int_1^\infty \int_0^1 \frac{\alpha^{n-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2\alpha}{(1+\alpha)}}\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\}\frac{\Gamma(n\phi)}{(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha)^{n\phi}}d\phi d\alpha$$
$$< \int_1^\infty c_1'\alpha^{n-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2\alpha}{(1+\alpha)}}\frac{\gamma(n-1, n\,\mathrm{q}(\alpha))}{(n\,\mathrm{q}(\alpha))^{n-1}}d\alpha < \int_1^\infty g_2'\alpha^{-\frac{3}{2}}d\alpha < \infty,$$

$$s_3 = \int_0^1 \int_1^\infty \frac{\alpha^{n-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2\alpha}{(1+\alpha)}}\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\}\frac{\Gamma(n\phi)}{(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha)^{n\phi}}d\phi d\alpha,$$
$$< \int_0^1 c_2'a^{n-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2}, n\,\mathrm{p}(\alpha))}{(n\,\mathrm{p}(\alpha))^{\frac{n}{2}}}d\alpha < \int_0^1 g_3'\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}d\alpha < \infty,$$

and

$$s_4 = \int_1^\infty \int_1^\infty \frac{\alpha^{n-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2\alpha}{(1+\alpha)}}\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\}\frac{\Gamma(n\phi)}{(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha)^{n\phi}}d\phi d\alpha$$
$$< \int_1^\infty c_2'a^{n-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2}, n\,\mathrm{p}(\alpha))}{(n\,\mathrm{p}(\alpha))^{\frac{n}{2}}}d\alpha < \int_1^\infty g_4'\alpha^{-2}d\alpha < \infty,$$

where c_1', c_2', g_1', g_2', g_3' e g_4' are positive constants such that the above inequalities occur. Therefore, we have: $d_5 = s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 < \infty$. □

The conditional posterior distributions for α, μ and ϕ are given as follows:

$$p_R(\alpha|\phi, \boldsymbol{t}) \propto \frac{\alpha^{n+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}}{(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha)^{n\phi}}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\},$$

$$p_R(\phi|,\alpha,\boldsymbol{t}) \propto \frac{\sqrt{\psi'(\phi)}\Gamma(n\phi)}{\Gamma(\phi)^n}\frac{\left\{\prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha\phi-1}\right\}}{(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha})^{n\phi}}, \tag{30}$$

$$p_R(\mu|\phi,\alpha,\boldsymbol{t}) \sim \text{GG}\left(n\phi, \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^{\alpha}\right)^{1/\alpha}, \alpha\right).$$

By considering the conditional posterior distributions (30) the convergence of Monte Carlo Methods Markov Chain (MCMC) is easily achieved. Since the conditional distributions of α e ϕ have no closed form, the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm was used (see Gamerman and Lopes, 2006) to obtain the subsequent quantities.

4 Simulation Study

In this section we develop a simulation study via Monte Carlo methods. The main goal is to study the efficiency of the proposed method. The following procedure is adopted:

1. Generate values of the GG(ϕ, μ, α) with size n.
2. Using the values obtained in Step 1, calculate the posterior estimates $\hat{\phi}, \hat{\mu}$ e $\hat{\alpha}$ using MCMC.
3. Repeat the Steps 2 and 3 N times.
4. Using $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, compute the mean relative estimates (MRE) $\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\hat{\theta}/\theta}{N}$ and the mean square errors (MSE) $\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(\hat{\theta}-\theta)^2}{N}$.

It is expected that the MRE's are closer to one with smaller MSE.

We fix the parameter values at $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (0.4, 1.5, 5)$, $N = 1,000$ and $n = (50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300)$. It is also evaluated the coverage probability of the 95% highest posterior density ($HPD_{95\%}$) intervals (see Migon, Gamerman and Louzada, 2014), that is, the Lower (L), Upper (U) and 95% (C) amounts of coverage. For a large number of experiments, using a confidence level of 95%, the frequencies of intervals that covered the true values of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ should be close 95%.

For each simulated sample, $31,000$ iterations were performed using MCMC methods. As a sample burning, we discard the first $1,000$ initial values. To

reduce the autocorrelation values of the chains, we saved generated samples with space of size 30, getting at the end three chains of size $1,000$. To check the convergence of the obtained chains, we used the Geweke criterion (Geweke, 1992) with a confidence level of 95%. We computed the posterior mode estimate, yielding $2,000$ estimates for ϕ, μ and α using different simulated samples.

Tables 1 shows the MRE's, MSE's, the coverage probability L, U and C with a confidence level equals to 95% from the estimates obtained of the posterior modes for $1,000$ simulated samples, considering different values of n. It can be observed that the MSE's decreases as n increases and also, as expected, the values of MRE's tend to 1, allowing to obtain good inferences for the parameters of the GG distribution. It is important to point out that using this approach, the coverage probability (C) for all parameters tend to 0.95 and the values of (L) and (U) are approaching 0.025 as there is an increase of the size of n.

Table 1: MRE, MSE, L, U and C estimates for 1000 samples of sizes $n = (50, 100, 200, 250, 300)$.

	n	MRE	MSE	L	U	C
$\phi = 0.4$	50	0.668	0.052	0.010	0.000	0.990
	100	0.789	0.035	0.018	0.056	0.926
	150	0.862	0.019	0.015	0.037	0.948
	200	0.898	0.016	0.017	0.029	0.954
	250	0.919	0.012	0.013	0.046	0.941
	300	0.942	0.010	0.019	0.026	0.955
$\mu = 1.5$	50	0.950	0.033	0.016	0.001	0.983
	100	0.967	0.018	0.016	0.058	0.926
	150	0.979	0.010	0.014	0.035	0.951
	200	0.984	0.008	0.016	0.032	0.952
	250	0.988	0.007	0.019	0.038	0.943
	300	0.991	0.006	0.021	0.023	0.956
$\alpha = 5.0$	50	0.952	3.398	0.006	0.012	0.982
	100	1.083	4.551	0.055	0.017	0.928
	150	1.042	2.086	0.038	0.013	0.949
	200	1.028	1.470	0.032	0.013	0.955
	250	1.022	1.183	0.045	0.016	0.939
	300	1.010	0.915	0.033	0.023	0.944

5 Industrial experimental data

In this section, it is considered a dataset related to the lifetimes of 30 units in an industrial experiment (Table 2) extracted from Meeker & Escobar (1998).

Table 2: Dataset related to the lifetimes of 30 units in an industrial experiment (Meeker & Escobar, 1998, p.383).

275	13	147	23	181	30	65	10	300	173
106	300	300	212	300	300	300	2	261	293
88	274	28	143	300	23	300	80	245	266

We consider the GG distribution to analyze this dataset and compare the results with the fits of some of its particular cases: Weibull, Log Normal and Gamma distribution through the BIC (Schwarz, 1978) and DIC tests (Spiegelhalter et al., 2002). Figures containing the generated samples of the marginal posterior densities for ϕ, μ and α, through MCMC methods, time-series plots of the simulated samples and the sample autocorrelations are available in Figure 2.

It is observed from Figure 2 and also through the Geweke criterion, that there is an indication of convergence of the simulation MCMC algorithm. The results of the simulated chains can be assumed to be samples of the marginal posterior distributions for ϕ, μ and α. Due to the asymmetry of the marginal posterior distributions, we estimated the posterior modes of the posterior distribution for $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ (see Table 3).

Table 3: Posterior modes, posterior standard deviations, and 95% credibility intervals for the parameters ϕ, μ and α.

$\boldsymbol{\theta}$	Mode	SD	$HPD_{95\%}(\theta)$
ϕ	0.08135	0.06149	(0.04560; 0.28829)
μ	0.00299	0.00024	(0.00235; 0.00327)
α	10.48558	4.51768	(3.38645; 21.19713)

Table 4 shows the results of DIC and BIC criteria for different probability distributions, considering the presented data.



Figure 2: Plots of temporal autocorrelations and the marginal posterior densities for the generated series for ϕ, μ and α

.

Table 4: Results of DIC and BIC criteria for different probability distributions.

Criterion	Generalized Gamma	Weibull	Gamma	Log-normal
DIC	**197.517**	363,60	374,50	386,10
BIC	**362.765**	385,70	377,18	389,08

Given a set of fitted candidate distributions for the lifetime T, the preferred one is given by the distribution which provides the lowest BIC and DIC. Based on both criteria, we can conclude from the results from the Table 4 that the GG distribution is the best distribution for the industrial data set.

6 Final Comments

In this paper, different reference prior distributions for the GG distribution were obtained. We proved that such priors lead to improper posterior distributions and should not be used in a Bayesian framework. Such a problem is overcome via a modification made in the reference prior distribution, where the parameters of interest are ordered. It was proved that using such a prior we obtain a proper posterior distribution.

From the practical point of view, based on a simulation study and on an important data from Meeker & Escobar (1998), we demonstrated that using the obtained posterior distribution it is possible to obtain good estimates of the parameters of the GG distribution.

Acknowledgements

The research was partially supported by CNPq, FAPESP and CAPES of Brazil.

References

[1] AALO, V. A.; PIBOONGUNGON, T.; ISKANDER, C.D. Bit-Error Rate of Binary Digital Modulation Schemes in Generalized Gamma Fading Channels. IEEE Comm. Letters, v. 9, p. 139-141, 2005.

[2] AHSANULLAH, M.; MASWADAH, M.; SEHAM A. M. Kernel Inference on the Generalized Gamma Distribution Based on Generalized Order Statistics. Journal of Statistical Theory and Applications, v. 12, n. 2, p. 152-172, 2013.

[3] BALAKRISHNAN, N.; PENG, Y. Generalized gamma frailty model, Statist. Med. v. 25, p. 2797-2816, 2006.

[4] BERGER, J.O; BERNARDO, J.M., 1989. Estimating a product of means: Bayesian analysis with reference priors. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 84, 200-207.

[5] BERGER, J.O; BERNARDO, J.M., 1992a. Ordered group reference priors with applications to a multinomial problem. Biometrika, 79, 25-37.

[6] BERGER, J.O; BERNARDO, J.M., 1992b. Reference priors in a variance components problem. In Goel, P.K., Iyengar, N.S., (eds.). Bayesian Analysis in Statistics and Econometrics. Springer, Berlin, pp. 323-340.

[7] BERGER, J.O; BERNARDO, J.M., 1992c. On the development of reference priors. In Bernardo, J.M., Berger, J.O., Dawid, A.P., Smith, A.F.M., (eds.). Bayesian Statistics 4. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 35-60.

[8] BERNARDO, J.M., 1979. Reference posterior distributions for Bayesian inference. Journal of The Royal Statistical Society Series B - Statistical Methodology, 41, 113-147.

[9] BERNARDO, J.M., 2005. Reference analysis. In Dey, D.K., Rao, C.R., (eds.). Handbook of Statistics. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 17-90.

[10] CHANG, H.; KIM, B. H. Non-informative priors in the generalized gamma stress-strength systems. IIE Transactions, v.43, p. 797-804, 2011.

[11] FOLLAND, G. B. Real Analysis - Modern Techniques and Their Applications, John Wiley & Sons, 1999.

[12] FU, K.; XU, A.; TANG, Y. Objective Bayesian analysis of Pareto distribution under progressive Type-II censoring, *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 82, 1829-1836, 2002.

[13] GAMERMAN, D; LOPES, H. F. Markov Chain Monte Carlo - Stochastic Simulation for Bayesian Inference. 2nd edition. Chapman&Hall/CRC, 2006.

[14] GEWEKE, J. (1992) Evaluating the accuracy of sampling-based approaches to calculating posterior moments (with discussion). (eds.Bernado, J.M., et al.) Bayesian Statistics **Volume** 4, Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, 169-193.

[15] HAGER, H. W.; BAIN L. J. 1970. Inferential procedures for the generalized gamma distribution. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, **65**: 1601-1609.

[16] HUANG, P; HWANG, T. 2006. On new moment estimation of parameters of the Generalized Gamma distribution using it's characterization. *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, **10**(4): 1083-1093.

[17] KANG, S. G.; LEE, K.; LEE, W. D Noninformative priors for the generalized half-normal distribution. *Journal of the Korean Statistical Society*, v.43, 19-29, 2014.

[18] KIM, D. H.; LEE, W. D.; KANG, S. G. Non-informative priors for the inverse Weibull distribution. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, v. 84, n.5, 1039-1054, 2014.

[19] KHODABIN M; AHMADABADI A. 2010. Some properties of generalized gamma distribution. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, **4**: 9-28.

[20] LI, H. C.; HONG, W.; WU, Y. R.; FAN, P. Z. On the empiricalstatistical modeling of SAR images with generalized Gamma distribution. IEEE J. Sel. Topics Signal Process., v. 5, n. 3, p. 386-397, Jun, 2011.

[21] MASWADAH, M.; SEHAM, A. M.; AHSANULLAH, M. Bayesian Inference on the Generalized Gamma Distribution Based on Generalized Order Statistics. Journal of Statistical Theory and Applications, v. 12, n. 4, 356-377, 2013.

[22] MARANI, A.; LAVAGNINI, l; BUTTAZZONI, C. Statistical study of air pollutant concentrations via generalized gamma distributions. JAPCA, v. 36, p. 1250-1254, 1986.

[23] MIGON, H. S. ; GAMERMAN, D; LOUZADA, F. Statistical Inference: An Integrated Approach, 2nd edition. Chapman&Hall/CRC, 2014.

[24] NOORTWIJK, J. M. Bayes Estimates of Flood Quantiles using the Generalised Gamma Distribution. System and Bayesian Reliability; Essays in Honor of Professor Richard E. Barlow on His 70th Birthday, Singapore: World Scientific Publishing, p.351-374, 2001.

[25] RAJU, B. I.; SRINIVASAN, M. A. Statistics of envelope of high-frequency ultrasonic backscatter from human skin in vivo. IEEE Trans. Ultrason., Ferroelect. Freq. Contr., v. 49, n. 7, p. 871-882, 2002.

[26] RAMOS, P. L.; MOALA, F. A.; ACHCAR, J. A. Objective Priors for Estimation of Extended Exponential Geometric Distribution, Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, v.14, n.2, 2014.

[27] SCHWARZ, G. 1978. Estimating the dimension of a model. *The Annals of Statistics*, **6**: 461-464.

[28] SPIEGELHALTER, D. J.; BEST, N. G.; CARLIN, B. P.; LINDE, A. V. D. 2002. Bayesian measures of model complexity and fit (with discussion). *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, **64**: 583-639.

[29] STACY, E. W. 1962. A generalization of the gamma distribution. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, **28**: 1187-1192.

[30] STACY, E. W.; MIHRAM, G. A. 1965. Parameter estimation for a generalized gamma distribution. *Technometrics*, **7**: 349-358.

[31] TOMAZELLA, V. L.; CANCHO, V. G.; LOUZADA, F. Bayesian reference analysis for the Poisson-exponential lifetime distribution. *Chilean Journal of Statistics*, v.4, n.1, 99113. 2013.